

Applicants : Hamelink et al.
Serial No. : 10/529,828
Page : 10

REMARKS

In the Office Action, claims 1-18, 30-37, and 40-41 were allowed. Claims 20-26 were objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim. By this Amendment, Claim 20 has been rewritten in independent form, including all the limitations of the base claim 19, and claims 20-26, therefore, are also now in condition for allowance. Claim 19 has been canceled. Claim 27 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, and claim 27 has been amended to delete the confusing language and now fully complies with 35 U.S.C. § 112 and is believed to be in condition for allowance, as are claims 28 and 29 dependent thereon. Claims 38 and 39 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112 and by this Amendment have been canceled.

It is submitted that this Amendment places this application in condition for allowance, which action is respectfully solicited.


Respectfully submitted,

HAMELINK ET AL.

By: Price, Heneveld, Cooper,
DeWitt & Litton, LLP

May 16, 2007

Date



H. W. Reick
Registration No. 25 438
695 Kenmoor S.E.
P.O. Box 2567
Grand Rapids, MI 49501
Phone: (616) 949-9610
Facsimile: (616) 957-8196

HWR:dal